

Mellin transforms of p -adic Whittaker functions

Anton Deitmar

Contents

1	Whittaker functions	3
2	Induced representations	6
3	Moderate growth	7
4	The Mellin transform	12
5	The group $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$	16
6	The unramified transform for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$	19
7	The general unramified case	20

Introduction

Whittaker functions occur naturally in the theory of automorphic forms as the Fourier coefficients of cusp forms. As a consequence, inner products of Poincaré series with cusp forms are Mellin transforms of Whittaker functions [2, 11]. Mellin transforms of Whittaker functions have been considered mainly in the context of automorphic L -functions for the group GL_n , see [1, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12]. Some authors consider Mellin transforms with respect to the central torus and some restrict to the archimedean case. In [6] the archimedean transform in the unramified case is considered. In [5] the author established meromorphicity of the Mellin transform for arbitrary archimedean groups and arbitrary representations.

In this paper the nonarchimedean situation is considered. At first it has to be secured that the Mellin transforms actually do exist by showing that Whittaker vectors are of moderate growth (Theorem 3.1). Next it is shown that, for a dense set of vectors, the Mellin transform is a rational function in q -powers, where q is the order of the residue class field. Finally, for unramified representations, the class one Mellin transform is computed explicitly, showing that it is a product of a simple entire function and an Euler factor attached to the adjoint representation.

The motivation for this paper is to seek an analytic approach to general automorphic L -functions. Such an approach would require a representation of a given L -function as, say, a Mellin integral. Up to now this essentially only can be done for standard L -functions of the group GL_n . The Mellin transform introduced here has the advantage that it is defined for any reductive group.

1 Whittaker functions

Let F be a nonarchimedean local field with valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$ and uniformizer ϖ . Denote by G be a connected reductive group over F . Let $K \subset G$ be a good maximal compact subgroup. Choose a minimal parabolic subgroup $P = MN$ of G with Levi component M . Let A denote the greatest split torus in the center of M . Then A is called the *split component* of P . Let $\Phi = \Phi(G, A)$ be the root system of the pair (G, A) , i.e. Φ consists of all homomorphisms $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1$ such that there is X in the Lie algebra of G with $\mathrm{Ad}(a)X = a^\alpha X$ for every $a \in A$. Given α , let $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ be the Lie algebra generated by all such X and let N_α be the closed subgroup of N corresponding to $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$. Let $\Phi^+ = \Phi(P, A)$ be the subset of Φ consisting of all positive roots with respect to P . Let $\Delta \subset \Phi^+$ be the subset of simple roots. A character $\eta : N \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is called *generic* if η is nontrivial on N_α for every $\alpha \in \Delta$. Let $A^- \subset A$ be the set of all $a \in A$ such that $|a^\alpha| < 1$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta$.

Denote by $N(A)$ be the normalizer of A in G . The *Weyl group*:

$$W = N(A)/A$$

is a finite group which acts on A . Each element w of W has a representative in K . We frequently use the same notation for the element w of W and its representative in K .

Let $X^*(A) = \mathrm{Hom}(A, \mathrm{GL}_1)$ be the group of all homomorphisms as algebraic groups from A to GL_1 . This group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^r$ with $r = \dim A$. Likewise let $X_*(A) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{GL}_1, A)$. There is a natural $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued pairing

$$\begin{aligned} X^*(A) \times X_*(A) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{GL}_1, \mathrm{GL}_1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\ (\alpha, \eta) &\mapsto \alpha \circ \eta. \end{aligned}$$

For every root $\alpha \in \Phi(A, G) \subset X^*(A)$ let $\check{\alpha} \in X_*(A)$ be its coroot. Then $(\alpha, \check{\alpha}) = 2$. The valuation v of F gives a group homomorphism $\mathrm{GL}_1(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Let A_c be the unique maximal compact subgroup of A . Let $\Sigma = A/A_c$; then Σ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice of rank $r = \dim A$. By composing with the valuation v the group $X^*(A)$ can be identified with

$$\Sigma^* = \mathrm{Hom}(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{a}_0^* = \text{Hom}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}) \cong X^*(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

be the real vector space of all group homomorphisms from Σ to $\mathbb{R}$ and let $\mathfrak{a}^* = \mathfrak{a}_0^* \otimes \mathbb{C} = \text{Hom}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}) \cong X^*(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. For $a \in A$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ let

$$a^\lambda = q^{-\lambda(a)},$$

where q is the number of elements in the residue class field of F . In this way we get an identification

$$\mathfrak{a}^* / \frac{2\pi i}{\log q} \Sigma^* \cong \text{Hom}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^\times).$$

A quasicharacter $\nu : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is called *unramified* if ν is trivial on A_c . The set $\text{Hom}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ can be identified with the set of unramified quasicharacters on A . Any unramified quasicharacter ν can thus be given a unique real part $\text{Re}(\nu) \in \mathfrak{a}_0^*$. This definition extends to not necessarily unramified quasicharacters $\chi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ as follows. Choose a splitting $s : \Sigma \rightarrow A$ of the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow A_c \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Sigma \rightarrow 1.$$

Then $\nu = \chi \circ s$ is an unramified character of A . Set

$$\text{Re}(\chi) = \text{Re}(\nu).$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of the splitting s . For quasicharacters χ, χ' and $a \in A$ we will frequently write a^χ instead of $\chi(a)$ and $a^{\chi+\chi'}$ instead of $\chi(a)\chi'(a)$. Note that the absolute value satisfies $|a^\chi| = a^{\text{Re}(\chi)}$ and that a quasicharacter χ actually is a character if and only if $\text{Re}(\chi) = 0$.

Let $\Delta_P : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be the modular function of the group P . Then there is $\rho \in \mathfrak{a}_0^*$ such that $\Delta_P(a) = a^{2\rho}$. For $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and a root α let

$$\nu_\alpha = (\nu, \check{\alpha}) \in X^*(\text{GL}_1) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_0^*$ implies $\nu_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ for every α . For $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_0^*$ we say that ν is positive, $\nu > 0$, if $\nu_\alpha > 0$ for every positive root α .

Example. Let $G = \text{GL}_n(F)$ and let $\varpi_j \in G$ be the diagonal matrix $\varpi_j = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \varpi, 1, \dots, 1)$ with the ϖ on the j -th position. Let $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and let

$$\nu_j = \nu(\varpi_j A_c) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Let α be a root, say $\alpha(\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \frac{a_i}{a_j}$. Then

$$\nu_\alpha = \nu_i - \nu_j.$$

Hence $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_0^*$ is positive if and only if $\nu_1 > \nu_2 > \dots > \nu_n$.

By a *representation* of G we will simply mean a group homomorphism $\pi : G \rightarrow GL(V)$ from G to the group of all linear homomorphisms of a complex vector space V , in other words, G acts linearly on V . The representation π is called *irreducible* if there is no proper subrepresentation; i.e. if the only G -stable subspaces of V are the zero space and V itself. The representation ρ is called *smooth* if every vector $v \in V$ is fixed by some open subgroup H of G . The representation π is called *admissible* if it is smooth and for every open subgroup H of G the space of fixed vectors V^H is finite dimensional. Finally a representation π is called *quasi-simple* if the center of G acts by scalar multiples of the identity.

Let (π, V_π) be an irreducible admissible representation of G . Let $\eta : N \rightarrow \mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ be a character. A nonzero linear functional $\psi = \psi_\eta : V_\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on π is called a *Whittaker functional* with respect to η if for any $n \in N$ and $v \in V_\pi$ we have $\psi(\pi(n)v) = \eta(n)\psi(v)$. Define the corresponding *Whittaker function* by

$$W_v(x) = \psi(\pi(x)v), \quad x \in G.$$

The character η is called *generic* if for every $\alpha \in \Delta$ the restriction of η to the group N_α is nontrivial.

Lemma 1.1 *Suppose that η is generic. Then, for any $v \in V_\pi$ there is an element $a_0 \in A$ such that the Whittaker function $W_v|_A$ has support in $a_0 A^-$.*

Proof: Let $v \in V_\pi$. Since the stabilizer of v in G is open, there is a compact open subgroup N_0 of N such that for any $n \in N_0$ we have $\pi(n)v = v$. This implies that for $a \in A$ and $n \in N_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} W_v(a) &= \psi(\pi(a)v) \\ &= \psi(\pi(a)\pi(n)v) \\ &= \psi(\pi({}^a n)\pi(a)v) \\ &= \eta({}^a n)\psi(\pi(a)v) \\ &= \eta({}^a n)W_v(a), \end{aligned}$$

where we have written ${}^a n$ for na^{-1} . So $W_v(a) \neq 0$ implies $\eta({}^a n) = 1$ for every $n \in N_0$. The assertion follows. Q.E.D.

In [4] it is shown that if π is a supercuspidal representation then $W_v(a)$ has compact support modulo the center of G .

2 Induced representations

Let θ be a subset of Δ , and let $P_\theta = M_\theta N_\theta$ be the corresponding parabolic containing P . Let A_θ be the split component of P_θ . The extremal cases are $P_\emptyset = P$ and $P_\Delta = G$. Note that $A_\Delta = A_G$ is the largest split torus in the center of G . Let $A^{der} = A \cap G^{der}$, where G^{der} is the derived group of G . The product $A_G A^{der}$ has finite index in A . Let $x \mapsto x^{2\rho_\theta}$ be the modular function of P_θ . Let σ be an admissible representation of M_θ . Denote by $\pi = \pi_\sigma$ the representation smoothly induced from $\sigma \otimes 1$ on P_θ . The space of this representation is the space V_π of all locally constant functions $f : G \rightarrow V_\sigma$ which satisfy $f(mnx) = m^{\rho_\theta} \sigma(m) f(x)$ for all $mn \in P_\theta$ and all $x \in G$. The representation π then is defined by $\pi(x)f(y) = f(yx)$. Recall that if σ is quasi-simple of finite length, then π_σ is a quasi-simple representation of finite length.

By a theorem of Harish-Chandra every irreducible admissible representation π is a quotient of some induced representation π_σ , for σ supercuspidal. So any Whittaker function for π will induce one for π_σ . For the analysis of Whittaker functions it is therefore sufficient only to consider induced representations.

Let $\bar{P}_\theta = M_\theta \bar{N}_\theta$ be the parabolic opposite to P_θ . This means that $\bar{N}_\theta$ is given as follows. Let Φ_θ^+ be the intersection of Φ^+ with the linear span of θ . Then $N_\theta = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Phi_\theta^+} N_\alpha$. Now define

$$\bar{N}_\theta = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Phi_\theta^+} N_{-\alpha}.$$

There is a different way to construct the group $\bar{N}_\theta$ which is quite useful. Let $w_{\theta,l}$ denote the longest element of the Weyl group $W_\theta \subset W$ of A_θ . Then for $\theta = \emptyset$ we get $w_l = w_{\emptyset,l}$, which is the longest element of W . Set $w_\theta = w_{\theta,l} w_l$.

and set $\bar{\theta} = w_{\theta}^{-1}\theta$, then it turns out [4] that $\bar{N}_{\theta} = w_{\theta}N_{\bar{\theta}}w_{\theta}^{-1}$, and that w_{θ} is the longest element of the set $[W_{\theta} \setminus W] = \{w \in W \mid w^{-1}\theta > 0\}$.

Let $\pi = \pi_{\sigma}$, where σ is not necessarily supercuspidal but quasisimple and of finite length. Let $V_{\pi}^c \subset V_{\pi}$ be the subspace of functions $f : G \rightarrow V_{\sigma}$ such that $n \mapsto f(w_{\theta}n)$ has compact support in $N_{\bar{\theta}}$. Note that this subspace is stable under the action of P_{θ} , but not in general under the action of the group G . Let ψ_{σ} be a Whittaker functional on V_{σ} for the character ${}^{w_{\theta}}\eta$, i.e. ψ_{σ} satisfies $\psi_{\sigma}(\sigma(n)v) = \eta(w_{\theta}^{-1}nw_{\theta})\psi_{\sigma}(v)$ for every $n \in N \cap M_{\theta}$. Then the linear map $\psi : V_{\pi}^c \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\psi(f) = \int_{N_{\bar{\theta}}} \eta(n^{-1})\psi_{\sigma}(f(w_{\theta}n))dn$$

satisfies $\psi(\pi(n)f) = \eta(n)\psi(f)$ for any $n \in N$.

Theorem 2.1 (*Rodier*) *The functional ψ extends to a unique Whittaker functional on V_{π} . The map sending ψ_{σ} to ψ is a bijection from the space of all Whittaker functionals on V_{σ} to the space of all Whittaker functionals on V_{π} .*

Proof: The assertion follows from Theorem 1.6 of [4]. Q.E.D.

3 Moderate growth

We fix an embedding $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ with $K \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$. On $\mathrm{Mat}_n(F) \supset \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ we have a norm

$$\|A\| = \max_{i,j} |a_{i,j}|,$$

which satisfies $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$ for all $A, B \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(F)$.

We say that a function f on G is *of moderate growth* if there are $C > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $x \in G$ we have

$$|f(x)| \leq C \|x\|^m.$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let η be generic, and let π be an irreducible admissible representation of G . Then for any $f \in V_\pi$ the Whittaker function W_f is of moderate growth.*

Proof: According to a theorem of Harish-Chandra, every irreducible admissible representation is a quotient of a representation parabolically induced from a supercuspidal representation. It therefore suffices to prove the claim not for irreducible representations but for induced ones.

We have the decomposition $G = PK = NMK$. Let $f \in V_\pi$ as above; then $W_f(nmk) = \psi(\pi(nmk)f) = \eta(n)\psi(\pi(m)\pi(k)f)$. Since K is compact, it suffices to show that W_f is of moderate growth on M . Since further $M = A\omega$ for some compact set ω it follows that we only have to check that W_f is of moderate growth on A .

The proof of the theorem will be based on induction. At first assume that π is supercuspidal, then $W_f(a)$ is of compact support, which implies the claim in this case.

Next assume that π is not supercuspidal. Then there is a supercuspidal representation σ of some Levi-component $M_{\theta'}$ such that π is a quotient of $\pi_\sigma = \text{Ind}_{P_{\theta'}}^G(\sigma \otimes 1)$. Thus it suffices to show the claim in the case $\pi = \pi_\sigma$. In this case π is not in general irreducible, but still quasi-simple and of finite length. Let P_θ be a maximal proper parabolic with $P_{\theta'} \subset P_\theta$. Let τ be the representation of M_θ induced from $\sigma \otimes 1$ on $P_{\theta'} \cap M_\theta$. By the double induction formula we have that $\pi = \pi_\tau$, the representation induced from τ . By induction hypothesis we may assume that any Whittaker functional for τ is of moderate growth. So let $\pi = \pi_\tau$, then V_π is the space of all

locally constant functions $f : G \rightarrow V_\tau$ such that $f(mnx) = m^{\rho_\theta} \tau(m) f(x)$ for $mn \in P_\theta$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $N_c \subset N$ be a compact open subgroup such that η is nontrivial on $N_c \cap N_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in \Delta$. For $f \in V_\pi$ define*

$$\mathcal{P}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(N_c)} \int_{N_c} \eta(n^{-1}) f(xn) dn.$$

Then $\mathcal{P}(f)$ has support in the open Bruhat cell $P_\theta w_\theta P$.

Proof: According to the Bruhat decomposition we have $G = P_\theta w_\theta P \cup P_\theta$, since P_θ is maximal. So we have to show that $\mathcal{P}(f)(1) = 0$. For this recall

$$\mathcal{P}(f)(1) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(N_c)} \int_{N_c} \eta(n^{-1}) f(n) dn.$$

Now let $n_1 \in N_c \cap N_\theta$ such that $\eta(n_1) \neq 1$. Since $f(n) = f(n_1 n)$ it follows that $\mathcal{O}(f)(1) = \eta(n_1) \mathcal{P}(f)(1)$, so $\mathcal{P}(f)(1) = 0$. The lemma follows.

Q.E.D.

For $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ let $\Gamma(j)$ denote the subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ consisting of all elements congruent to the unit matrix modulo $\varpi^{2j} \mathcal{O}$. Let $K_j = K \cap \Gamma(j)$ then K_j is normal in K and the sequence $(K_j)_j$ forms a neighbourhood basis of the unit in G . Let $\log_q(x) = \frac{\log(x)}{\log(q)}$. Then for $a \in A$ the number

$$e(a) = \max_{w \in W} \log_q(a^{2w\rho})$$

is in $\mathbb{N}_0$. A function φ on A is of moderate growth if and only if there are $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that $|\varphi(a)| \leq C q^{\mu e(a)}$. Note that there is a natural number k_0 such that for any $a \in A$ we have $a K_j a^{-1} \supset K_{j+k_0 e(a)}$. Let $V_\pi(j) = V_\pi(K_j)$ be the set of vectors in V_π which are fixed by K_j . Then it follows that for each $a \in A$ we have $\pi(a) V_\pi(j) \subset V_\pi(j + k_0 e(a))$.

Lemma 3.3 *There is a natural number $\tilde{m}$ such that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $P_\theta w_\theta N \setminus P_\theta K_j$ is a subset of $P_\theta w_\theta N_{\tilde{m}j}$.*

Proof: Let $\bar{P} = M\bar{N}$ be the opposite parabolic and let $\bar{N}_0 = \bar{N} \cap K$. Let $a \in A^+$ be so large that $a\bar{N}_0a^{-1} \subset K_j$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P_\theta w_\theta N \setminus P_\theta K_j &\subset P_\theta w_\theta N \setminus P_\theta a\bar{N}_0a^{-1} \\ &= [P_\theta wN \setminus P_\theta \bar{N}_0]a^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

So, if $P_\theta wN \setminus P_\theta \bar{N}_0$ is contained in, say, $P_\theta wN_j$, then $P_\theta wN \setminus P_\theta K_j$ is contained in $P_\theta w(aN_ja^{-1})$. The lemma follows. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.4 *There is a natural number k_1 such that $\mathcal{P}(V_\pi(j)) \subset V_\pi(j + k_1)$.*

Proof: We have

$$\mathcal{P}(V_\pi(j)) = \mathcal{P}(V_\pi(K_j)) \subset V_\pi \left(\bigcap_{n \in N_c} nK_jn^{-1} \right).$$

Let $a_0 \in A$ be such that $N_c \subset a_0N_0a^{-1}$. then

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{n \in N_c} nK_jn^{-1} &\supset \bigcap_{n_0 \in N_0} a_0n_0a_0^{-1}K_ja_0n_0^{-1}a_0^{-1} \\ &\supset \bigcap_{n_0 \in N_0} a_0n_0K_{j+k_0e(a_0)}n_0^{-1}a_0^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now N_0 is a subset of K , and K_j is normal in K . Therefore the latter equals $a_0K_{j+k_0e(a_0)}a_0^{-1} \supset K_{j+2k_0e(a_0)}$. With $k_1 = 2k_0e(a_0)$ the lemma follows. Q.E.D.

Now we continue the proof of the theorem. For every $f \in V_\pi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathcal{P}(f)) &= \frac{1}{\text{vol}(N_c)} \int_{N_c} \eta(n^{-1})\psi(\pi(n)f)dn \\ &= \psi(f) \frac{1}{\text{vol}(N_c)} \int_{N_c} dn \\ &= \psi(f). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $f \in V_\pi(j)$; then for $a \in A$ we have that $\pi(a)f \in V_\pi(j + k_0e(a))$, and by Lemma 3.4 it follows that $\mathcal{P}(\pi(a)f) \in V_\pi(j + k_0e(a) + k_1)$. By Lemma 3.3 this implies that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{P}(\pi(a)f)) \subset P_\theta w_\theta N_{\tilde{m}(j+k_0e(a)+k_1)}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} W_f(a) &= \psi(\pi(a)f) \\ &= \psi(\mathcal{P}(\pi(a)f)) \\ &= \int_{N_{\bar{\theta}} \cap N_{\tilde{m}(j+k_0e(a)+k_1)}} \eta(n^{-1}) \psi_\tau(f(w_\theta na)) dn, \end{aligned}$$

for some Whittaker functional ψ_τ on V_τ . This last line is implied by Theorem 2.1.

For an element x of G we write the MNK -decomposition as

$$x = \underline{m}(x)\underline{n}(x)\underline{k}(x).$$

Then

$$\psi_\tau(f(w_\theta na)) = \underline{m}(w_\theta na)^{\rho_\theta} \psi_\tau(\tau(\underline{m}(w_\theta na))f(\underline{k}(w_\theta na))).$$

The function $na \mapsto f(\underline{k}(w_\theta na))$ takes only finitely many values since f is locally constant. By induction hypothesis the Whittaker function $m \mapsto \psi_\tau(\tau(m)v)$ is of moderate growth for any $v \in V_\tau$. It follows that there is $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\psi_\tau(f(w_\theta na)) \leq C \|\underline{m}(w_\theta na)\|^{m_1}.$$

For any $x \in \text{GL}_n(F)$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ we have

$$\|k_1 x k_2\| \leq \|k_1\| \|x\| \|k_2\| = \|x\|.$$

Varying x, k_1, k_2 we get

$$\|k_1 x k_2\| = \|x\|.$$

There is a parabolic P'_θ of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ such that $P_\theta = P'_\theta \cap G$. Modulo conjugation by $\text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ we may assume that P'_θ is a standard parabolic, i.e. it contains the upper triangular matrices. We can choose the Levi component M'_θ of P'_θ with $M_\theta = M'_\theta \cap G$ as a standard component. From this it follows that for any $m \in M_\theta$ and $n \in N_\theta$ we have $\|m\| \leq \|mn\|$. In particular $\|\underline{m}(w_\theta na)\| \leq \|\underline{m}(w_\theta na)\underline{n}(w_\theta na)\|$, which by the above equals $\|w_\theta na\| = \|na\|$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\tau(f(w_\theta na)) &\leq C \|na\|^{m_1} \\ &\leq C \|n\|^{m_1} \|a\|^{m_1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $n \in N_{\tilde{m}(j+k_0e(a)+k_1)}$, then $\|n\| \leq q^{\tilde{m}(j+k_0e(a)+k_1)}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |W_f(a)| &\leq C \|a\|^{m_1} \int_{N_{\bar{\theta}} \cap N_{\tilde{m}(j+k_0e(a)+k_1)}} \|n\|^{m_1} dn \\ &\leq C \|a\|^{m_1} q^{m_1 \tilde{m}(j+k_0e(a)+k_1)} \text{vol}(N_{\bar{\theta}} \cap N_{\tilde{m}(j+k_0e(a)+k_1)}) \end{aligned}$$

There is $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\text{vol}(N_{\bar{\theta}} \cap N_{\tilde{m}(j+(k_0)e(a)+k_1)}) \leq q^{m_2 \tilde{m}(j+(k_0)e(a)+k_1)}.$$

So that finally

$$\begin{aligned} |W_f(a)| &\leq C \|a\|^{m_1} q^{(m_1+m_2)\tilde{m}(j+(k_0)e(a)+k_1)} \\ &\leq C_1 \|a\|^{m_1+\tilde{m}k_0m_3}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $m_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_1 > 0$.

Q.E.D.

4 The Mellin transform

For every simple root α let A_α denote the set of $a \in A^{\text{der}}$ with $a^\beta = 1$ for every $\beta \in \Delta$, $\beta \neq \alpha$. Then the product map induces an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha \rightarrow A^{\text{der}} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1,$$

where E and F are finite abelian groups. Let A' be the image of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha$ in A^{der} . The root lattice R is in general a sublattice of the character lattice $X^*(A^{\text{der}})$. The restriction map however gives rise to an isomorphism $R \cong X^*(A')$. By this reason the torus A' will be called the *root torus*.

Let η be generic, and let π be an irreducible admissible representation of G . Let $\lambda_0 : A' \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be a character. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $f \in V_\pi$ set

$$I_{\lambda_0, f}(\lambda) = \int_{A'} W_f(a) a^{\lambda_0 + \lambda - \rho} da.$$

From Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 1.1 it follows that the integral $I_f(\lambda)$ converges locally uniformly for $\text{Re}(\lambda) \gg 0$.

If λ_0 is the trivial character we also write $I_f(\lambda)$.

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose that η is generic and let $\pi = \pi_\sigma$, where σ is supercuspidal. Then for any $f \in V_\pi^c$ the function $\lambda \mapsto I_{\lambda_0, f}(\lambda)$ extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathfrak{a}^*$. Indeed it is a rational function in $(q^{\lambda_\alpha})_{\alpha \in \Delta}$.*

Proof: The function f is compactly supported in $w_\theta N_\theta$, and

$$\begin{aligned} W_f(a) &= \int_{N_{\bar{\theta}}} \eta(n^{-1}) \psi_\sigma(f(w_\theta n a)) dn \\ &= a^{2\rho_\theta} \int_{N_{\bar{\theta}}} \eta(a n^{-1}) \psi_\sigma(\sigma(w_\theta a) f(w_\theta n)) dn. \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$I_{\lambda_0, f}(\lambda) = \int_{A'} a^{\lambda_0 + \lambda - \rho + 2\rho_\theta} \int_{N_{\bar{\theta}}} \eta(a n^{-1}) \psi_\sigma(\sigma(w_\theta a) f(w_\theta n)) dnd a.$$

Note that the map $m \mapsto \sigma(w_\theta m)$ is a representation of $w_\theta^{-1} M_\theta w_\theta = M_{\bar{\theta}}$.

Let A_{CM} be the image of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \bar{\theta}} A_\alpha$ in A^{der} . Then A_{CM} lies in the center of $M_{\bar{\theta}}$. Likewise let A_M be the image of $\prod_{\alpha \in \bar{\theta}} A_\alpha$. Then A_M has a finite intersection with the center of $M_{\bar{\theta}}$. Write any $a \in A'$ as a product $a = a_{CM} a_M$, where $a_{CM} \in A_{CM}$ and $a_M \in A_M$. Then

$$\psi_\sigma(\sigma(w_\theta a) f(w_\theta n)) = a_{CM}^{w_\theta \chi} \psi_\sigma(\sigma(w_\theta a_M) f(w_\theta n))$$

for a character $\chi = \chi_\sigma$, the central character of σ . The locally constant function

$$(a_M, n) \mapsto \psi_\sigma(\sigma(w_\theta a_M) f(w_\theta n))$$

has compact support in $A_M \times N_{\bar{\theta}}$. It therefore is a linear combination of functions of the type $\mathbf{1}_{a_0 C} \times \mathbf{1}_{n_0 V}$, where $a_0 \in A_M$, $n_0 \in N_{\bar{\theta}}$; C and V are compact neighbourhoods of the units in A_M and $N_{\bar{\theta}}$. So we have to worry about expressions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{A_M} \int_{A_{CM}} (a_{CM} a_M)^{w_\theta \chi + \lambda_0 + \lambda - \rho + 2\rho_\theta} \\ &\quad \times \int_{N_{\bar{\theta}}} \eta(a_M a_{CM} n^{-1}) \mathbf{1}_{a_0 C}(a_M) \mathbf{1}_{n_0 V}(n) dnd a_M da_{CM}. \end{aligned}$$

For C sufficiently small this equals

$$a_0^{w_\theta \chi + \lambda_0 + \lambda - \rho + \rho_\theta} \int_C a_M^{w_\theta \chi + \lambda_0 + \lambda - \rho + 2\rho_\theta} da_M \\ \times \int_{A_{CM}} a_{CM}^{w_\theta \chi + \lambda_0 + \lambda - \rho + 2\rho_\theta} \eta(a_{CM} a_0 n_0) \int_V \eta(a_{CM} n^{-1}) dnda_{CM}.$$

The integral over the compact set C is a polynomial in the $q^{\pm \lambda_\alpha}$. If the representation π itself is supercuspidal then the last factor does not occur and the proof is finished. So, let's assume that π is not supercuspidal. Then we have to treat the factor

$$\int_{A_{CM}} a_{CM}^{w_\theta \chi + \lambda_0 + \lambda - \rho + 2\rho_\theta} \eta(a_{CM} a_0 n_0) \int_V \eta(a_{CM} n^{-1}) dnda_{CM}.$$

Let $\Phi_{\bar{\theta}}$ be the set of all roots $\alpha \in \Phi$ which lie in the $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of $\bar{\theta}$ and let $\Phi_{\bar{\theta}}^+ = \Phi_{\bar{\theta}} \cap \Phi^+$. Let $N_{\bar{\theta},0}$ be the subgroup of $N_{\bar{\theta}}$, generated by all N_α where $\alpha \in \Phi_{\bar{\theta}}^+$, but $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}\theta$. Then, as a set, we can write

$$N_{\bar{\theta}} = N_{\bar{\theta},0} \times \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \bar{\theta}} N_\alpha.$$

The Haar-measure of $N_{\bar{\theta}}$ can also be written as a product of the Haar-measures of the subgroups. Now note that $N_{\bar{\theta},0}$ is a subgroup of the commutator group $[N, N]$ and so the character η is trivial on $N_{\bar{\theta},0}$. We perform the integration over $N_{\bar{\theta},0}$ first. Since η is trivial on $N_{\bar{\theta},0}$ this integration is over $\mathbf{1}_V$ only and it just gives a scalar factor. It thus remains to discuss

$$\int_{A_{CM}} a^{w_\theta \chi + \lambda_0 + \lambda - \rho + 2\rho_\theta} \int_{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \bar{\theta}} N_\alpha} \eta(a n^{-1}) \mathbf{1}_{n_0 V}(n) dnda.$$

We may assume that $n_0 \in \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \bar{\theta}} N_\alpha$ and that V is a product $V = V_1 \times \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \bar{\theta}} V_\alpha$. Splitting all integrals into respective products over $\Delta \setminus \bar{\theta}$ we get that the above equals

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \bar{\theta}} \left(\int_{A_\alpha} a^{w_\theta \chi + \lambda_0 + \lambda - \rho + 2\rho_\theta} \int_{N_\alpha} \eta(a n^{-1}) \mathbf{1}_{n_0 V_\alpha}(n) dnda \right).$$

Fix $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \bar{\theta}$ and consider the factor attached to α . Since η is generic this factor equals

$$\int_{a'_\alpha A_\alpha^-} a^{w_\theta \chi + \lambda_0 + \lambda - \rho + 2\rho_\theta} \int_{V_\alpha} \eta(a n^{-1}) dn \eta(a n_0^{-1}) da,$$

for some $a'_\alpha \in A_\alpha$. There is a second $a''_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ with $a''_\alpha A_\alpha^- \subset a'_\alpha A_\alpha^-$ and $\eta({}^a n^{-1}) = 1 = \eta({}^a n_0^{-1})$ for every $n \in V_\alpha$. Thus the contribution under consideration is a sum of two summands the first of which is an integral

$$\int_D a^{w_\theta \chi + \lambda_0 + \lambda - \rho + 2\rho_\theta} \int_{V_\alpha} \eta({}^a n^{-1}) dn \eta({}^a n_0^{-1}) da,$$

where $D \subset A_\alpha$ is compact open and the function

$$a \mapsto \int_{V_\alpha} \eta({}^a n^{-1}) dn \eta({}^a n_0^{-1})$$

is locally constant. This summand thus turns out to be a polynomial in $q^{\pm\lambda_\alpha}$. The second summand is a constant times

$$\int_{a''_\alpha A_\alpha^-} a^{w_\theta \chi + \lambda_0 + \lambda - \rho + 2\rho_\theta} da,$$

which is rational in q^{λ_α} . This finishes the proof of the theorem. Q.E.D.

Conjecture 4.2 *We conjecture that the Mellin transform $I_{\lambda_0, f}(\lambda)$ always is a rational function in the q^{λ_α} .*

We now recall the notion of an unramified group. The group G is called *unramified* if the two equivalent conditions hold:

- G is obtained by base change from a smooth reductive group scheme over $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of F .
- G is quasisplit over F and split over an unramified extension.

Proposition 4.3 *The conjecture holds if G is unramified and of F -rank one, and $\pi = \pi_\nu$ for some unramified quasicharacter ν of A .*

Proof: For G and π unramified Casselman and Shalika [4] gave an explicit expression for $W_{f_0}(a)$, where f_0 is the standard class one vector in V_π . This formula indicates that the conjecture holds for $f = f_0$. For arbitrary f we have that $f - f(1)f_0$ vanishes at 1 and therefore lies in V_π^c , since G is of rank one. By Theorem 4.1 and linearity the proposition follows. Q.E.D.

5 The group $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$

In this section we will compute the Mellin transform $I_v(\lambda)$ for a vector v which is fixed under the maximal compact subgroup K . Since the Mellin transform is taken over the derived torus it suffices to do the computations in the group $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$. We therefore let P be the parabolic subgroup of all upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$. We let $\pi = \pi_\nu$, $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, the unramified principal series representation. The space of π consists of all locally constant functions $f : \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $f(a(t)nk) = |t|^{\nu+1}f(k)$, where $a(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t & \\ & \frac{1}{t} \end{pmatrix}$ for $t \in F^\times$ and $k \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})$. In particular let f_0 be the standard class 1 vector, so $f_0(a(t)nk) = |t|^{\nu+1}$. Then, if $\mathrm{Re}(\nu) < 0$, we are in the range of Theorem 4.1. We let

$$\psi(f) = \int_N \eta(n^{-1})f(wn)dn.$$

We want to compute

$$W_{f_0}(a(t)) = \int_N \eta(n^{-1})f_0(wna(t))dn.$$

We need to make the ANK -decomposition of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ more explicit. So assume that $g \in G$ is written as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & \\ & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x \in F$ is arbitrary and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})$. Then

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ t^{-1}\gamma & t^{-1}\delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the fractional ideal generated by c and d is $t^{-1}\mathcal{O}$. For $n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N$ this means

$$f_0(wn) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\ |x|^{-\nu-1} & \text{if } |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

This leads to

$$f_0(na(t)) = \begin{cases} |t|^{-\nu-1} & \text{if } x \in t^2\mathcal{O}, \\ |t|^{1+\nu}|x|^{-\nu-1} & \text{if } x \ni t^2\mathcal{O}. \end{cases}$$

Now assume that $\eta\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \tilde{\eta}(x)$ for some character $\tilde{\eta}$ of F which is trivial on $\mathcal{O}$ but nontrivial on $\varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_{f_0}(a(t)) &= \int_N \eta(n^{-1}) f_0(Wna(t)) dn \\ &= |t|^{-\nu-1} \int_{t^2\mathcal{O}} \tilde{\eta}(-x) dx \\ &\quad + |t|^{\nu+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{j(-\nu-1)} \int_{\varpi^{-j}t^2\mathcal{O}^\times} \tilde{\eta}(-x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varpi^{-j}t^2\mathcal{O}^\times} \tilde{\eta}(-x) dx &= \int_{\varpi^{-j}t^2\mathcal{O}} \tilde{\eta}(-x) dx - \int_{\varpi^{1-j}t^2\mathcal{O}} \tilde{\eta}(-x) dx \\ &= |\varpi^{-j}t^2| \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}}(\varpi^{-j}t^2) - |\varpi^{1-j}t^2| \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}}(\varpi^{1-j}t^2) \end{aligned}$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} W(a(t)) &= |t|^{-\nu+1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}}(t) + |t|^{-\nu+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-\nu j} (\mathbf{1}_{\varpi^j\mathcal{O}}(t^2) - \frac{1}{q} \mathbf{1}_{\varpi^{j-1}\mathcal{O}}(t^2)) \\ &= \frac{1 - q^{-\nu-1}}{1 - q^{-\nu}} (|t|^{1-\nu} - q^{-\nu} |t|^{1+\nu}) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that with

$$I(s) = \int_{F^\times} W(a(t)) |t|^{s-1} d^\times t$$

we get

$$I(s) = \frac{(1 - q^{-\nu-1})(1 + q^{-s})}{(1 - q^{-(s+\nu)})(1 - q^{-(s-\nu)})}.$$

We want to compare this with automorphic L -functions. To choose an L -factor for $\bar{\pi}_\nu$ we have to fix a representation of the Langlands dual group

of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ which is $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. The smallest dimensional representations of this group are the trivial representation and the adjoint representation $\mathrm{Ad} : \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$. the L -factors are:

$$L(\pi_\nu, \mathrm{triv}, s) = \frac{1}{1 - q^{-s}}$$

and

$$L(\pi_\nu, \mathrm{Ad}, s) = \frac{1}{(1 - q^{-(s+\nu)})(1 - q^{-s})(1 - q^{-(s-\nu)})}.$$

We have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1 *For $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ the Mellin transform of the unramified Whittaker function equals*

$$I(s) = \frac{L(\pi, \mathrm{Ad}, s)}{L(\pi, \mathrm{triv}, s)} F_\nu(s),$$

where $F_\nu(s)$ is the entire function $F_\nu(s) = (1 - q^{-\nu-1})(1 + q^{-s})$.

We compare our Mellin transform to the one considered by Jacquet and Langlands in [10]. They prove (Theorem 2.18), that the integral defining

$$\Psi(s, v) = \int_{F^\times} W_v \left(\begin{pmatrix} t & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) |t|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} d^\times t$$

converges if the real part of s is large enough. Further there is a unique Euler factor $L(\pi, s)$, depending on π and not on v , such that

$$\Psi(s, v) = L(\pi, s) \Phi(s, v),$$

where $\Phi(s, v)$ is entire in s and there is a $v_0 \in V_\pi$ such that $\Phi(s, v_0) = a^s$ for some positive constant a .

To compare this with $I_v(s)$ let $c = \#(\mathcal{O}^\times)/(\mathcal{O}^\times)^2$. Then

$$\Psi(s, v) = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\gamma: F^\times/(F^\times)^2} \int_{F^\times} W_{\pi \left(\begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)_v} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t^2 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) |t|^{2s-1} d^\times t.$$

This gives the following Proposition.

Proposition 5.2 *If the central torus of all multiples of the identity matrix acts trivially on V_π then we have*

$$\Psi(s, v) = I_{F(v)}(2s).$$

$$\text{where } F(v) = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{\gamma: F^\times / (F^\times)^2} \pi \left(\begin{pmatrix} \gamma & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} v \right).$$

6 The unramified transform for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$

For the case of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ for $n > 2$ one could either do similar explicit computations or use the main result of [4] to derive the following result:

Proposition 6.1 *Assume $\pi = \pi_\nu = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G(1 \otimes \nu \otimes 1)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} I(\lambda) &= \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{1 - q^{-\nu_\alpha - 1}}{1 - q^{\nu_\alpha}} \right) \\ &\times \sum_{w \in W} \mathrm{sign}(w) \left(\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta \\ w\alpha < 0}} q^{\nu_\alpha} \right) \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-(\lambda_\alpha + (w\nu)_\alpha)}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We consider the special values at $\lambda_\alpha = s \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. Write the corresponding function as $I(s) = I(s, \dots, s)$. Further let

$$C(\nu) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{1 - q^{-\nu_\alpha - 1}}{1 - q^{\nu_\alpha}}$$

and

$$D(\nu, w) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta \\ w\alpha < 0}} q^{\nu_\alpha},$$

then

$$I(s) = C(\nu) \sum_{w \in W} \mathrm{sign}(w) D(\nu, w) \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-(s + (w\nu)_\alpha)}}.$$

We get

$$I(s) = C(\nu) \prod_{\beta \in \Phi} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-(s+\nu_\beta)}} \\ \times \sum_{w \in W} \text{sign}(w) D(\nu, w) \prod_{\beta \in \Phi \setminus w\Delta} (1 - q^{-(s+\nu_\beta)}).$$

Set

$$F_\nu(s) = C(\nu) \sum_{w \in W} \text{sign}(w) D(\nu, w) \prod_{\beta \in \Phi \setminus w\Delta} (1 - q^{-(s+\nu_\beta)})$$

and

$$L_\pi(s) = \frac{L(\pi, \text{Ad}, s)}{L(\pi, \text{triv}, s)^{n-1}},$$

then we have

Proposition 6.2 *The function $F_\nu(s)$ is entire and*

$$I(s) = L_\pi(s) F_\nu(s).$$

7 The general unramified case

Let G be unramified. For $\alpha \in \Delta$ consider the next to minimal parabolic $P_{\{\alpha\}} = M_{\{\alpha\}} N_{\{\alpha\}}$. Then $M_{\{\alpha\}}$ has semisimple rank one. So the simply connected covering $\tilde{M}_{\{\alpha\}}$ of its derived group has rank one. There are only two possible cases (see [4]): either $\tilde{M}_{\{\alpha\}} \cong \text{SL}_2(F)$ or $\tilde{M}_{\{\alpha\}} \cong \text{SU}_3(F)$. For $\alpha \in \Delta$ let

$$\varepsilon_\alpha = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \tilde{M}_{\{\alpha\}} \cong \text{SL}_2(F), \\ 1 & \text{if } \tilde{M}_{\{\alpha\}} \cong \text{SU}_3(F). \end{cases}$$

For $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ let

$$C(\nu) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{(1 - (-1)^{\varepsilon_\alpha} q^{-\nu_\alpha - 1})(1 - q^{-\nu_\alpha - 2})^{\varepsilon_\alpha}}{1 - q^{(1+\varepsilon_\alpha)\nu_\alpha}}.$$

For $w \in W$ let

$$D(\nu, w) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta \\ w\alpha < 0}} q^{(1+\varepsilon_\alpha)\nu_\alpha}.$$

Theorem 7.1 *For an unramified representation π_ν , $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ the Mellin transform of the Whittaker function attached to the class one vector equals*

$$I(\lambda) = C(\nu) \sum_{w \in W} \text{sign}(w) D(\nu, w) \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-(\lambda_\alpha + (w\nu)_\alpha)}}.$$

In particular, for $I(s) = I(s, \dots, s)$ we get

$$I(s) = L_\pi(s) F_\nu(s),$$

where $F_\nu(s)$ is the entire function

$$F_\nu(s) = C(\nu) \sum_{w \in W} \text{sign}(w) D(\nu, w) \prod_{\beta \in \phi \setminus w\Delta} (1 - q^{-(s + \nu_\beta)}),$$

and $L_\pi(s)$ is the Euler factor

$$L_\pi(s) = \frac{L(\pi, \text{Ad}, s)}{L(\pi, \text{triv}, s)^{\dim A'}}.$$

References

- [1] **Bump, D.; Friedberg, S.:** *On Mellin transforms of unramified Whittaker functions on $GL(3, \mathbb{C})$* . J. Math. Anal. Appl. 139, 205-216 (1989).
- [2] **Bump D.; Friedberg, S.; Goldfeld, D:** *Poincaré series and Kloosterman sums for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$* . Acta Arith. 50, 31–89 (1988).
- [3] **Cartier, P.:** *Representations of p -adic groups: A survey*. Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. Am. Math. Soc., Corvallis/Oregon 1977, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 33, 1, 111-155 (1979).
- [4] **Casselman, W.; Shalika, J.:** *The unramified principal series of p -adic groups. II. The Whittaker function*. Compositio Math. 41, 207-231 (1980).
- [5] **Deitmar, A.:** *Mellin transforms of Whittaker functions*. to appear in: Bull. Can. Math.
- [6] **Friedberg, S.; Goldfeld, D.:** *Mellin transforms of Whittaker functions*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 121, 91-107 (1993).
- [7] **Harish-Chandra:** *Harmonic analysis on p -adic reductive groups*. Harmonic Analysis homog. Spaces, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 26, Williamstown 1972, 167-192 (1973).
- [8] **Jacquet, H.:** *Fonctions de Whittaker associées aux groupes de Chevalley*. Bull. Soc. math. France. 95, 243-309 (1967).
- [9] **Jaquet, H.; Piatetski-Shapiro, I; Shalika, J.:** *Facteurs L et ε du groupe linéaire*. C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris. 289, 59-61 (1979).
- [10] **Jacquet, H.; Langlands, R. P.:** *Automorphic forms on $GL(2)$* . Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 114. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
- [11] **Selberg, A.:** *On the estimation of Fourier coefficients of modular forms*. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. VIII pp. 1–15 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1965.

- [12] **Stade, E.:** *Mellin transforms of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ Whittaker functions.*
Amer. J. Math. 123, 121–161 (2001).

University of Exeter, Mathematics, Exeter EX4 4QE, Devon, UK
a.h.j.deitmar@ex.ac.uk